

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/025,701	12/26/2001	Koji Matsuo	KOJIM-443	7507
23599	7590 11/29/2005		EXAMINER	
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C.			HOFFMANN, JOHN M	
2200 CLARENDON BLVD. SUITE 1400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON, VA 22201			1731	
			DATE MAILED: 11/29/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/025,701	MATSUO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
John Hoffmann	1731	

<u> </u>	LAGIIIIIO	, C					
	John Hoffmann	1731					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 21 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	FOR ALLOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	. ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI).	RST REPLY WAS FILE					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must l 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.				
AMENDMENTS							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		because				
(c) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below), (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	l16 and 41.33(a)).						
<u> </u>							
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 		, timely filed amendm	nent canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		rill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2 and 10-19</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•					
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 			ince because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	11 T 11	1-18-05				



Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new issue: whether the change to claim 1, line 3 now makes any of the claims allowable..

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The assertions are not baseless as argued by applicant. Fukiwara discloses (example 2) treating in a fluorine atmosphere and the subsequent sentence indicates the preform is consolidated. It is inherent that there is some gas or a vacuum during this consolidating. It is reasonable to expect the same gas is present - absent a teaching to the contrary. APplicant's assertion that some other gas (or vacuum) is used is more unreasonable, because there is nothing which suggests it.. Applicant also argues that one cannot combine the methods of the prior art references because of the temperature limitations - the rejections are not based on bodily incorporation. Rather, the secondary references are used to show it is already well known to do what applicant does: sinter with a fluorine atmosphere. It is not invention to treat glass in a fluorine environment - if one wants fluorine in the glass.